UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,864	01/05/2004	Masaaki Hirano	50395-243	3238
	7590 03/27/200 WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street, N.W.			DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,864	HIRANO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Queenie Dehghan	1791
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-23 and 25-27 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-27 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the company of the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request t	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/750,864 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 2-4, 6, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) in view of Kang et al. (2005/0103057). Regarding claim 2, Kamiya et al. disclose a method for producing an optical fiber comprising:

Art Unit: 1791

a. a drying step to heat the glass pipe at a temperature of 550°C or below;

Page 3

- b. a sealing step to seal one end of the glass pipe (col. 3 lines 11-26); and
- c. a collapsing step to collapse the glass pipe to obtain a solid body (col. 3 lines 60-68).

Although the drying step is not performed before the sealing step, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select the order of the steps, i.e. to have performed the drying step prior to the sealing step in the absence of new or unexpected results. Furthermore, the drying step effectively removes moisture by heating the tube to a temperature less than 500°C and the sealing steps essentially provides pressure control within the tube. One of ordinary skill would have recognized that performing the drying step before or after the sealing step would not have altered the predicable result that moisture is removed since the act of heating the tube is performed. All the claimed steps are known in the prior art and one skill in the art could have combined the steps and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

4. Kamiya fails to disclose a pressure of 4kPa or less during the collapsing step. Kang et al. teach a method for producing an optical fiber preform comprising collapsing the preform tube while applying a negative pressure of 5 to 7.5 mmWC (0.05 - 0.07 kPa) in the pipe. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the pressure of Kang et al. in the collapsing step of Kamiya in order to close the hollow preform without transforming a geometric structure of the preform.

Art Unit: 1791

5. Regarding claims 3 and 4, Kamiya et al. disclose a drying temperature range of 100°C to 500°C (col. 3 lines 21-22), which is clearly above 60°C and/or 300°C.

Page 4

- 6. Regarding claim 6, Kamiya et al. disclose in figure 2, a furnace 51 for heating the tube in the drying step, which clearly covers a longitudinal range including and wider than the section of the tube comprising the inner layer 30, which is the section of the tube exposed to the collapsing temperature at furnace 33.
- 7. Regarding claim 13, Kamiya discloses reducing the pressure in the pipe to below 4 kPa in the drying step (col. 2 lines 39-49, col. 3 lines 18-41).
- 8. Regarding claim 15, Kamiya discloses a glass depositing step for the inner surface of the tube (col. 2 lines 15-20).
- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of DiGiovanni et al. (6,966,201). Kamiya et al. disclose a first drying step at a temperature range of 100°C to 500°C, wherein 100°C clearly falls within the range of 60-200°C. DiGiovanni et al. teach a purify step followed by a drying step at a temperature of 700°C, which is above 300°C (col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the drying step at 700°C of DiGiovanni et al. in the process of Kamiya et al. in order further remove moisture.
- 10. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of Barns et al. (4,842,626). Kamiya et al. fail to disclose the specific content of the drying gas used. Barns et al. teach the drying of the inside of a glass tube by utilizing a gas containing

Art Unit: 1791

less than 10 ppm hydrogen atom-containing substances (claim 1). Barns et al. further indicate blowing a volume of drying gas through the tube could be high enough to potentially create an increased pressure in the tube. It is the position of the Examiner that there is not reason not to believe this amount of drying gas is not at least 10 times the inner volume of the pipe, especially since it is capable of creating an increase pressure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the drying gas with such volume and H content in the process of Kamiya et al. in order to allow for the proper removal of the OH in the glass tube, as indicated by Barns et al.

Page 5

11. Claims 9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of Onishi et al. (Derwent Abstract of JP 08-067524). Kamiya et al. fail to disclose holding pipes. Onishi et al. teach holding pipes connected to at least one end of a glass tube for MCVD processes (drawing 1a, abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize such a holding pipe in the process of Kamiya to allow for the handling of the tube and opening for the flow of various drying gases. Additionally, since the holding pipe is in connection with the tube that is heated, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to expect that the holding pipe would radiate to the outside of the pipe infrared rays traveling though the wall of the pipe, since heat in the pipe would be conducted to the holding pipe and eventually radiated outside. Although not mentioned specifically, since the holding pipe of Onishi et al. allows for the passing of gases through the pipe and the

Art Unit: 1791

tube, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to expect that since the pipe is in connection with the tube and in the drying process of the Kamiya, drying gases flowing to the tube would have simultaneously remove hydroxyl groups from the holding pipe as well.

Page 6

- 12. Claims 10 and 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of Kunio (JP 62-226829). Kamiya discloses changing pressures in the tube during drying, after the sealing step, but do not disclose a first stage of reducing and second stage of increasing pressure. Kunio teaches a method for manufacturing optical fibers comprising of collapsing a tube and drying the tube in a two stage process including reducing the pressure of the glass pipe and introducing a dried gas into the pipe, essentially raising the pressure inside the tube (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the pressure stages of Kunio in the process of Kamiya et al. in order eliminate contaminates in the tube before drying the tube with a drying gas.
- 13. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of Homa (2003/0213268). Kamiya et al. fail to disclose a drying time. Homa teaches drying a glass tube for 1 hr ([0033]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the drying time of Homa in the process of Kamiya in order to ensure reduction of the hydroxyl groups in the glass tube.

Art Unit: 1791

14. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of Chang et al. (2002/0194877). Kamiya et al. fail to disclose inserting a rod in the tube. Chang et al. suggest the many known ways to manufacture an optical fiber preform including deposition inside a tube and inserting a rod into a tube ([0005], [0027], [0028]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the method step of inserting a rod into the tube, as suggest by Chang et al., because it is a well known method to manufacture a preform for optical fiber and to allow for overcladding of a rod.

Page 7

15. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of Homa (2003/0213268) and Yokota et al. (4,793,842). Kamiya et al. fail to disclose an etching step. It is apparent to one skill in the art to know the importance of removing moisture in the many method steps in manufacturing an optical preform. Homa teaches a variation of the process wherein a glass tube is etched with a gas and then dried ([0033]). Yokota et al. teach another variation where etching of the glass tube is done with drying the tube (col. 3 lines 24-42). Both Homa and Yokota et al. teach the need to dry the glass tub to ensure that hydroxyl groups are removed along the many various steps in manufacturing a preform. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the combination of steps of drying, etching, and drying or etching and drying, as suggested by Homa and Yokota et al. in the process of Kamiya et al. in order to ensure that hydroxyl groups have been removed

Application/Control Number: 10/750,864 Page 8

Art Unit: 1791

from the tube during any number of various steps in the manufacturing process.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the etching step in a longitudinal range, similarly to the drying step of Kamiya as discussed in claim 6 above, in order to properly encompass the critical optical fiber region comprising the core.

16. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiya et al. (4,772,303) and Kang et al. (2005/0103057) in view of DiGiovanni et al. (6,966,201). Kamiya et al. fail to disclose a purifying step specifically. DiGiovanni et al. teach drying a glass tube, followed by a chemical purifying step with chlorine gas and another drying step (col. 5 lines 18-27, 62-66, col. 6 lines 4-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the purifying step of DiGiovanni et al. in the process of Kamiya et al. in order to purify the soot body located within the tube.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed Feb. 8, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 24 under Kamiya have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kang et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Queenie Dehghan whose telephone number is (571)272-8209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven P. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791